Sensitivity analysis of dynamic crop models to assist crop science: assessing the impact of multiple traits on yield in Australian wheat.
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Systems approach to crop improvement [1, 2]
Taking advantage of genotypes x environment x management (GEM) interactions

Canopy viewed as a system of cultivar (G), pedo-climatic factors (E) and management practices (M).

Problem: improving crop yield with uncertain climate

- agronomy: improve management practices (choose G+M|E)
- genetics: improve genetic material (change G|E+M)

Tools

- experiments: hard to sample climatic variability
- models: genotypic determinism in crop models
Systems approach to crop improvement
A methodology for screening crop model parameters usable as plant traits for breeding

1. Model: APSIM platform [3]
   • dissect complex traits

2. Explore
   • **screen**: sensitivity analysis
     ▶ factors and distribution
     ▶ numerical design
     ▶ simulation and index computation
   • **search**: variance analysis
     ▶ parameter × environment

3. Optimization
   • parameter combination
Defining input factors

How many parameters in a typical crop model?

APSIM-wheat model

- ~ 500 parameters
- plant-related parameters
- 103 independant
  - 62 values
  - 41 functions
- 90 studied after grouping
Setting the variation range
How to keep biological meaning without going into 90 special cases?

Consensus

- 40% variation range
- 3 rules:
  1. scale single value
  2. scale y vector
  3. scale point in x or y vector
Sampling method for parameter and environmental space

How to sample GEM landscape for wheat in Australia?

Genotypes (Parameters) \( n = 9100 \)
- 6 levels by factor
- 100 random rep. of OAT designs

Environments \( n = 9000 \)
- 2 \( \text{CO}_2 \) levels
- 3 sowing dates
- 3 N fertilization amount
- 4 locations
- 125 years of climatic data
Simulation and sensitivity index computation

Simulation and output variables

- 8 output variables
- distributed computing in CSIRO ($81.9 \times 10^6$ simulations)
- R packages `ncdf4`, `dplyr`

Sensitivity indexes

- main ($\mu^*$): estimation of the linear effects of inputs
- interaction ($\sigma$): estimation of the non-linear/interaction effects
Screening for impactful parameters

About a half of the parameters are not or weakly impacting yield in control conditions.
Screening for impactful parameters

Parameters mainly impact specific output variables in control conditions
Searching for candidate parameters

The impact of traits is strongly affected by environment and management.
Searching for candidate parameters

A subset of the most impactful parameters is less dependant to environmental effect
Searching for candidate parameters

The impact of parameters is linked to resource availability
Conclusions

Numerical exploration

- low-impact parameters are targets for code refactoring
- methods to integrate G×E interactions in breeding approaches

UseR!

- numerical design, decoupling, index computation: sensitivity [5]
- data manipulation and visualization: reshape2 [6], dplyr [7], ggplot2 [8]
- reproducible code (markdown, knitr [9], github repository)
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